arXiv:math/0510548v2 [math.AG] 27 Aug 2006

Complexification of real cycles and Lawson suspension theorem

Jyh-Haur Teh
Department of Mathematics
National Tsing Hua University of Taiwan

Abstract

We embed the space of totally real r-cycles of a totally real projective variety into the space of complex r-cycles by complexification. We provide a proof of the holomorphic taffy argument in the proof of Lawson suspension theorem by using Chow forms and this proof gives us an analogous result for totally real cycle spaces. We use Sturm theorem to derive a criterion for a real polynomial of degree d to have d distinct real roots and use it to prove the openness of some subsets of real divisors. This enables us to prove that the suspension map induces a weak homotopy equivalence between two enlarged spaces of totally real cycle spaces.

1 Introduction

Classically, real algebraic geometry is the study of complex varieties endowed with a real structure. For example, with complex conjugation, the complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^n$ is a real variety. But there is another candidate for the name real algebraic geometry which is the study of real zero loci of real polynomials. The development in this branch of geometry shows that it has many interesting problems and people start indicating it the real algebraic geometry, for example in [1], [3]. Since we deal with both of these geometries in this paper, to distinguish them, we will keep the name real algebraic geometry for the classical involutional geometry and totally real algebraic geometry for the other one.

This new branch sits between differential topology and algebraic geometry. By the apparatus of Morse theory, Milnor (see [8]) and Thom (see [12]) gave an estimation of the total Betti number of a totally real algebraic variety. This estimation has been applied for instance in obtaining lower bounds on the complexity of algorithms (see [4]). A fascinating fundamental result in totally real algebraic geometry is the Nash-Tognoli theorem which says that every compact smooth manifold without boundary is diffeomorphic to some nonsingular algebraic varieties. Since complex projective manifolds are more restricted, there is no analogous result in complex algebraic geometry.

In general, totally real algebraic varieties are more irregular than real algebraic varieties. One of the most striking differences is that the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is an affine variety. From here it is not difficult to see that it is difficult to study totally real algebraic varieties from the classical methods like intersection or projection. Nevertheless, many properties of a totally real projective variety behave well in $\mathbb{Z}_2$-world. We believe that a result in complex world should have its analogue in the real world but with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-nature. For example the classical Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is certainly not true in the real world, but we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-version of it. Another example is Lawson homology theory and morphic cohomology theory for projective varieties founded by

---

[1] Tel: +886 3 5715131-33071
E-mail address: jyhhaur@math.nthu.edu.tw

Lawson and Friedlander. The parallel theories for real projective varieties are called reduced real Lawson homology and reduced real morphic cohomology developed by the author (see [10]). The cornerstone of all these theories is Lawson suspension theorem (see [5], [6]) which says that the suspension map induces a homotopy equivalence between $Z_r(X)$ and $Z_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ for a projective variety X where $Z_r(X)$ is the r-cycle group of X. As an application of this theorem, we have

$$Z_r(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong K(\mathbb{Z},0)\times K(\mathbb{Z},2)\times\cdots\times K(\mathbb{Z},2(n-r))$$

where $K(\mathbb{Z},i)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac lane space. A version of the Lawson suspension theorem in reduced real Lawson homology is the reduced real Lawson suspension theorem which says that $R_r(X)$ is homotopy equivalent to $R_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ for a real projective variety X where $R_r(X)$ is the reduced real cycle group of X. As an application, we have

$$R_r(\mathbb{P}^n) \cong K(\mathbb{Z}_2,0)\times K(\mathbb{Z}_2,1)\times\cdots\times K(\mathbb{Z}_2,n-r).$$

We note that the real points of the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ is $\mathbb{RP}^n$ and the nonzero homology groups $H_i(\mathbb{RP}^n;\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients of $\mathbb{RP}^n$ are $\mathbb{Z}_2$ for $i=1,...,n$.

The reduced real Lawson homology and the reduced real morphic cohomology enable us to use real projective varieties to study totally real projective varieties. One reason is that there are natural transformations from the reduced real Lawson homology and the reduced real morphic cohomology of a real projective variety to the singular homology groups and the singular cohomology groups with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients respectively of its real points. For instance, a generalization of the Harnack-Thom theorem is proved in [11] which relates the rank of the Lawson homology groups with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients of a real projective variety X with the rank of its reduced real Lawson homology groups. For zero-cycles, we recover the classical Harnack-Thom theorem which gives a bound of the total Betti number in $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients of the real points by the total Betti number in $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients of a real projective variety.

In this paper, we study the space formed by totally real r-cycles on a totally real projective variety. A totally real r-cycle in our sense is a formal sum of some r dimensional totally real irreducible subvarieties on a totally real variety. We embed the space of totally real r-cycles of a totally real variety $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ into the space of complex r-cycles of its complexification $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. Therefore it inherits the topology from the complex r-cycle group. Our goal is to prove a result analogous to the Lawson suspension theorem. The proof of Lawson suspension theorem is divided into two parts which Lawson calls *holomorphic taffy* and *magic fans.* Since the space of totally real r-cycles is not closed in the *holomorphic taffy* process, we have to enlarge the embedded space of totally real r-cycles $RZ_r(X)$ on X to a space $SZ_r(X)$ and enlarge the embedded space of totally $(r+1)$-cycles $RZ_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ on $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$ to a space $\overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. We follow his division to show that the suspension map induces a weak homotopy equivalence between $SZ_r(X)$ and $\overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. We use this suspension theorem to compute the homotopy type of $\overline{RZ}_1(\mathbb{RP}^n)$. The algebraic proof of Lawson suspension theorem given by Friedlander in [5] works for any algebraically closed fields. His proof is schematic and needs more machinery. Our proof of the part *holomorphic taffy* deals with Chow forms directly and is easier to apply to the proof of *holomorphic taffy* for totally real cycles.

2 Complexification

In this paper, a totally real algebraic variety is the zero loci in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of some real polynomials and a totally real projective variety is the zero loci in $\mathbb{RP}^n$ of some real homogeneous polynomials. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{RP}^n$ will be considered as subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{CP}^n$ respectively under the canonical embeddings. For the basic properties of totally real algebraic varieties we refer to [1], [3].

Definition Suppose that $X \subseteq \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a subset. The real cone $RC(X)$ of X is $\pi^{-1}(X) \cup \{0\}$ where π is the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} - 0 \to \mathbb{RP}^n$. We denote the ideal in $\mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]$ generated by those real homogeneous polynomials vanishing on $RC(X)$ by $I^{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. Now consider $RC(X)$ as a subset in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and we denote $I^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ to be the ideal in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, ..., z_n]$ generated by those homogenous polynomials vanishing on $RC(X)$. For a homogeneous ideal $J \subseteq \mathbb{C}[z_0, ..., z_n]$, we denote the complex projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by J by $Z(J)$. If X is a totally real projective variety, its complexification $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is defined to be $ZI^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$.

Definition For $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]$, the complexification of f is defined to be the complex polynomial $f_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, ..., z_n]$ where $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the extension of f to $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. In other words, it is the image of f under the natural embedding $\mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}[z_0, ..., z_n]$. For an ideal $J \subset \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]$, the complexification $J_{\mathbb{C}}$ of J is the ideal generated by $\{f_{\mathbb{C}} | f \in J\}$ in $\mathbb{C}[z_0, ..., z_n]$.

Proposition 2.1. *For a totally real projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$, $I^{\mathbb{C}}(X) = (I^{\mathbb{R}}(X))_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. By definition, if $f \in I^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$, then $f(RC(X)) = 0$. Let g be the restriction of f to $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, and let $Re(g), Im(g)$ be two real polynomials such that $g = Re(g) + iIm(g)$. Since $g(RC(X)) = f(RC(X)) = 0$, $Re(g)(RC(X)) = Im(g)(RC(X)) = 0$. So $Re(g), Im(g)$ are in $I^{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ which implies that $Re(g)_{\mathbb{C}}$, $Im(g)_{\mathbb{C}}$ are in $(I^{\mathbb{R}}(X))_{\mathbb{C}}$. So $f = Re(g)_{\mathbb{C}} + iIm(g)_{\mathbb{C}} \in (I^{\mathbb{R}}(X))_{\mathbb{C}}$. Another direction follows from the definition. □

Definition Suppose that $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a totally real algebraic variety and $I(V)$ is the ideal of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^n$ vanishing on V. The dimension $dimV$ of V is defined to be the maximal length of chain of prime ideals in $\mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]/I(V)$. The dimension of a totally real projective variety is the dimension of its real cone minus 1. We say that a point $x \in V$ is nonsingular if and only if we can find a generator set $\{p_1, ..., p_k\}$ of $I(V)$ such that

$$rk[\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_j}(x)] = n - dimV.$$

A point of a totally real projective variety is called nonsingular if it is the image of a nonsingular point in the real cone of that totally real projective variety.

The following is from [3], Proposition 3.3.8.

Proposition 2.2. *If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a totally real algebraic variety of dimension d and $x \in V$ is nonsingular. Then there exist $p_1, ..., p_{n-d} \in I(V)$ and a Zariski open neighborhood U of x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

i. $Z^{\mathbb{R}}(p_1, ..., p_{n-d}) \cap U = V \cap U$ where $Z^{\mathbb{R}}(p_1, ..., p_{n-d})$ is the totally real algebraic variety defined by $p_1, ..., p_{n-d}$.

ii. for every $y \in U$, rank $[\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x_j}(y)] = n - d$.

The following is the key proposition which enables us to embed spaces of totally real cycles into complex cycle spaces. To simplify the notation, we use $ZI(X)$ to designate $ZI^{\mathbb{C}}(X)$. We note that for a totally real projective variety $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$, $ZI(X)$ is the smallest complex projective variety in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which contains X.

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a totally real projective variety.*

1. *If $Y \subset X$ is a totally real projective subvariety, then $ZI(Y) \cap X = Y$.*
2. *If Y is an irreducible p-dimensional totally real projective subvariety of X, then $ZI(Y)$ is an irreducible complex p-dimensional projective subvariety of $ZI(X)$.*
3. *If V is a complex p-dimensional irreducible projective subvariety of $ZI(X)$ and $dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V \cap X) = p$, then $V \cap X$ is an irreducible totally real projective subvariety of X and $ZI(V \cap X) = V$.*

Proof.

1. Let $q \in ZI(Y) \cap X$. For any $f \in I^{\mathbb{R}}(Y)$, $f_{\mathbb{C}} \in I^{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$, thus $f_{\mathbb{C}}(q) = 0$. But q is a real point, so $f(q) = 0$. Therefore $ZI(Y) \cap X$ is contained in Y. Another direction is trivial.
2. Write $ZI(Y) = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_t$ as a union of its irreducible components. Let $Y_i = Y \cap V_i$ for each i. Then we have $\cup Y_i = Y$. But Y is irreducible, so some Y_i equals to Y. This means that V_i contains Y. But $ZI(Y)$ is the smallest complex projective variety contains Y, thus $V_i = ZI(Y)$. So $ZI(Y)$ is irreducible. Now let us consider the dimension of $ZI(Y)$. Let NS and $Sing$ be the nonsingular part and singular part of a variety respectively. It is known that the singular part of a projective variety is again a projective variety. We claim that the nonsingular part of Y and the nonsingular part of the complexification of Y must intersect somewhere. If not, then $NS(Y) \subset Sing(ZI(Y))$. Take $W = ZI(NS(Y)) \subset Sing(ZI(Y))$. Since $Y = (W \cap Y) \cup Sing(Y)$ and Y is irreducible, we have $Y = W \cap Y$. But $ZI(Y)$ is the smallest complex projective variety which contains Y, we must have $ZI(Y) \subset W \subset Sing(ZI(Y))$. A contradiction. Now take a point q which is a nonsingular point of Y and $ZI(Y)$ and assume that $I^{\mathbb{R}}(Y)$ is generated by $f_1, ..., f_k$. By Proposition 2.1, we know that $I^{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$ is the complexification of $I^{\mathbb{R}}(Y)$ and therefore $I^{\mathbb{C}}(Y)$ is generated by $f_{1,\mathbb{C}}, ..., f_{k,\mathbb{C}}$. Since q is nonsingular, thus
$$n - dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y) = rk[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}](q) = rk[\frac{\partial f_{i\mathbb{C}}}{\partial z_j}](q) = n - dim_{\mathbb{C}} ZI(Y).$$
We have $dim_{\mathbb{R}}(Y) = dim_{\mathbb{C}}(ZI(Y))$.
3. Suppose that $Y \subset V \cap X$ is an irreducible totally real projective subvariety of dimension p. Then $ZI(Y) \subset V$. By (2), $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} ZI(Y) = p$ and V is irreducible, so $ZI(Y) = V$. By (1), $Y = ZI(Y) \cap X = V \cap X$. So $V \cap X$ is irreducible and of dimension p. By (2), $ZI(V \cap X)$ is of dimension p and $ZI(V \cap X) \subset V$. The irreducibility and the dimension of V imply that $ZI(V \cap X) = V$.

□

Definition Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a totally real projective variety. A totally real r-cycle on X is a linear combination of irreducible totally real subvarieties of dimension r with integral coefficients. We say that a totally real cycle is effective if all of its coefficients are positive. The complexification of a totally real cycle $c = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n_i V_i$ is defined to be the complex cycle $\widetilde{c} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} n_i ZI(V_i)$ on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ and the degree of a totally real cycle is the degree of its complexification.

Remark The geometric picture of an irreducible totally real subvariety is very different from the complex one. For instance, an irreducible totally real algebraic variety may not be connected which contrasts to the case of complex irreducible algebraic variety. A concrete example is the irreducible cubic curve in $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by the equation $x^2 + y^2 - x^3 = 0$ which has two connected components and furthermore, two connected components have different dimensions.

Definition Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a totally real projective variety. Let $R\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$ be the collection of all effective totally real p-cycles of degree d on X.

We denote the Chow variety of effective p-cycles of degree d on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ by $\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ and denote the Chow monoid of p-cycles by $\mathscr{C}_p(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = \coprod_{d\geq 0} \mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$. Let

$$K_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) = \coprod_{d_1+d_2\leq d} \mathscr{C}_{p,d_1}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \times \mathscr{C}_{p,d_2}(X_{\mathbb{C}})/\sim$$

where the equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined by $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$ if and only if $a+d=b+c$. We give each $K_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ the quotient topology and since each Chow variety is compact, $K_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is compact for all d. The group of p-cycles $Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the Grothendieck group (naive group completion) of $\mathscr{C}_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ and from the filtration

$$K_{p,0}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset K_{p,1}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \subset \cdots = Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}}),$$

we give $Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ the weak topology defined by this filtration which means that a set $W \subset Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is closed if and only if $W \cap K_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ is closed for all d.

From Proposition 2.3, we see that $R\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$ is mapped injectively into $\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ by taking the complexification of totally real cycles. So from now on we identify $R\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$ with its image in $\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$. Let

$$R\mathscr{C}_p(X) = \coprod_{d\geq 0} R\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$$

be the monoid formed by totally real p-cycles and denote its naive group completion by $RZ_p(X)$. Endow $RZ_p(X)$ with the subspace topology of $Z_p(X)$, then $RZ_p(X)$ is a topological subgroup of $Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$. We denote the closure of $RZ_p(X)$ in $Z_p(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ by $\overline{RZ}_p(X)$.

3 A Proof of the Holomorphic Taffy by Chow Forms

Suppose that $V^r \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a r-dimensional irreducible subvariety. For a hyperplane ξ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, it corresponds to a point $\underline{\xi}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ by taking its coefficients. Obviously this correspondence is a bijection between the set of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let C be the set consisting of $(\underline{a}, \underline{\xi^0, ..., \xi^r}) \in V \times \mathbb{P}^n \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^n$ where $\xi^0, ..., \xi^r$ are hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which meet V at a. The set C is an irreducible projective variety whose ideal is generated by

$$I_n(V),\ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \xi^i_j x_j, \forall i = 0, ..., r$$

where $\underline{a} = (a_0 : ... : a_n)$ and $\underline{\xi}^i = (\xi^i_0 : ... : \xi^i_n)$. Project C to $(\mathbb{P}^n)^{r+1}$ we get a hypersurface. Thus there is an irreducible multihomogenous form $F_V(\underline{u}^0, ..., \underline{u}^r)$, unique up to a constant, defines that hypersurface. The multihomogeneous form F_V is called the Chow form of V. From here we can see that:

Proposition 3.1. *An irreducible multihomogeneous polynomial $F(\underline{u}^0, ..., \underline{u}^r)$ of $(\mathbb{P}^n)^{r+1}$ is the Chow form of an irreducible subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ if and only if it satisfies the following property: for any (r+1) hyperplanes $\xi^0, ..., \xi^r$, $F(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^r) = 0$ if and only if $\xi^0 \cap ... \cap \xi^r \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Or equivalently, for $a \in \mathbb{P}^n$, $a \in V$ if and only if for any $(r+1)$ hyperplanes $\xi^0, ..., \xi^r$ which contain a, $F(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^r) = 0$.*

Fix $t \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$. Define $\varphi_t : \mathbb{P}^{m+n+1} \to \mathbb{P}^{m+n+1}$ by

$$\varphi_t(y_0 : ... : y_m : x_0 : ... : x_n) = (ty_0 : ... : ty_m : x_0 : ... : x_n).$$

For V an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n$, it is easy to see that $\varphi_t(V)$ is also an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Let us define some notation. For $\underline{u} = (u_0 : ... : u_{m+n+1}) \in \mathbb{P}^{m+n+1}$, denote ${}^t\underline{u} = (tu_0 : ... : tu_m : u_{m+1} : ... : u_{m+n+1})$. And for a hyperplane ξ defined by $\sum_{i=0}^{m+n+1} \xi_i x_i = 0$, ${}^t\xi$ is the hyperplane defined by $\sum_{i=0}^{m} t\xi_i x_i + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n+1} \xi_i x_i = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that F_V is the Chow form of a r-dimensional irreducible subvariety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Then ${}^tF_V(\underline{u}^0, ..., \underline{u}^r) := F_V({}^t\underline{u}^0, ..., {}^t\underline{u}^r)$ is the Chow form of $\varphi_t(V)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a \in \varphi_t(V)$ and $\xi^0, ..., \xi^r$ are hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which contain a. Then ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}a \in V$ and ${}^t\xi^0, ..., {}^t\xi^r$ contain ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}a$. Thus $F_V({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ..., {}^t\underline{\xi}^r) = 0$ which implies ${}^tF_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^r) = 0$. Now we assume that for any $(r+1)$ hyperplanes which contain a, ${}^tF_V$ evaluates at the point defined by these hyperplanes is 0. We need to show that a is in $\varphi_t(V)$. Suppose that $\xi^0, ..., \xi^r$ are any $(r+1)$ hyperplanes which contain ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}a$. Then ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}\xi^0, ..., {}^{\frac{1}{t}}\xi^r$ are hyperplanes which contain a. Thus ${}^tF_V({}^{\frac{1}{t}}\underline{\xi}^0, ..., {}^{\frac{1}{t}}\underline{\xi}^r) = F_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^r) = 0$. So ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}a \in V$ which implies $a \in \varphi_t(V)$. □

Definition Suppose that $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$, $W \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ are two projective varieties. The join of Y and W, denoted as $Y\#W$, is defined to be $\pi(C(Y) \times C(W) - 0)$ where $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{m+n+2} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^{m+n+1}$ is the canonical quotient map. Thus $Y\#W$ is a projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^{m+n+1}$. Define $Y\bar{\times}W = \{[y_0 : ... : y_m : w_0 : ... : w_n] | [y_0 : ... : y_m] \in Y, [w_0 : ... : w_n] \in W\} = \pi(C(Y)^* \times C(X)^*)$ where $C(Y)^* = C(Y) - 0$. By abuse of notation, we will also use Y, W to denote $Y\bar{\times}0, 0\bar{\times}W$ respectively as the images of the canonical embedding of Y and W in $Y\#W$ and then we have $Y\#W = Y \cup W \cup Y\overline{\times}W$. It is easy to see that the join of two irreducible projective varieties is again irreducible.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be irreducible subvarieties and the dimension of Y is r. Suppose that V is a $(k+r+1)$-dimensional irreducible subvariety of $Y\#X$ and V intersects X properly in $X\#Y$. Then $V = Y\#W$ where $W = X \cap V$ if and only if*

$${}^tF_V = t^s F_V$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Suppose that ${}^tF_V = t^sF_V$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. To show that $V = Y\#W$ where $W = V \cap X$, we will first claim that $V \subset Y\#W$. Since $V = V \cap (Y\bar{\times}X) \cup (V \cap Y) \cup (V \cap X)$, $V \cap Y \subset Y\#W$ and $V \cap X = W$, it suffices to show that $V \cap (Y\bar{\times}X)$ is in $Y\#W$. For every point $a = (y_0 : ... : y_m : x_0 : ... : x_n) \in V \cap (Y\bar{\times}X)$ where $(y_0 : ... : y_m) \in Y$, and $(x_0 : ... : x_n) \in X$, we claim that $b = (ty_0 : ... : ty_m : x_0 : ... : x_n) \in V$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. If $t \neq 0$, for any $k+r+2$ hyperplanes $\xi^0, ..., \xi^{k+r+1}$ which contain b, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m} \xi_j^i t y_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n} \xi_{m+1+j}^i x_j = 0$$

where $i = 0, ..., k+r+1$. This means that $a \in {}^t\xi^0 \cap ... \cap {}^t\xi^{k+r+1}$ which implies that $F_V({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ..., {}^t\underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = 0$. But

$$F_V({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ..., {}^t\underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = {}^tF_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = t^sF_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1})$$

therefore $F_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = 0$. So $b \in V$. Since those polynomials defining V vanish for infinitely many t, they must vanish for all t, so $(ty_0 : ... : ty_m : x_0 : ... : x_n) \in V$ for any t. Thus $(0 : ... : 0 : x_0 : ... : x_n) \in W$, therefore $b \in Y\#W$. We then have $V \subset Y\#W$.

To show that they are the same, it is sufficient to show that W is irreducible, since V and $Y\#W$ have the same dimension and both of them are irreducible. Write $W = W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_k$ as a union of irreducible components of W. Since $Y\#W = \cup_{i=1}^k Y\#W_i$ and $V \subset Y\#W$, we have $V \subset Y\#W_i$ for some i. But then $0\bar{\times}W_j \subset V \subset Y\#W_i$ which implies that $W_j \subset W_i$ for all j. Thus W is irreducible.

Conversely, suppose that $V = Y\#W$. Fix a nonzero t. We are going to show that ${}^tF_V$ is also a Chow form of V. For $a \in V \cap \xi^0 \cap \cdots \cap \xi^{k+r+1}$, since $\frac{1}{t}a \in V \cap^t \xi^0 \cap \cdots \cap^t \xi^{k+r+1}$, therefore $F_V({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ...,{}^t \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = 0$ which means that ${}^tF_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = 0$.

Assume that for any $(r+1)$ hyperplanes, if a is in the intersection of them then imply ${}^tF_V$ evaluating at the point defined by these $(r+1)$ hyperplanes is 0. We need to show that a is in V.

If $\frac{1}{t}a \in \xi^0 \cap \cdots \cap \xi^{k+r+1}$, then $a \in^{\frac{1}{t}} \xi^0 \cap \cdots \cap^{\frac{1}{t}} \xi^{k+r+1}$. By the assumption on ${}^tF_V$, we have ${}^tF_V(\frac{1}{t}\xi^0, ..., \frac{1}{t}\xi^{k+r+1}) = F_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) = 0$. Therefore ${}^ta \in V$. But $V = Y\#W$, so a is in V. Thus ${}^tF_V = cF_V$ for a nonzero constant c. Let ${}^tF_V = F_kt^l + F_{k-1}t^{l-1} + \cdots + F_0$ then ${}^tF_V - cF_V \equiv (t^l - c)F_k + (t^{l-1} - c)F_{k-1} + \cdots + (1-c)F_0 \equiv 0$ for all t. Because V is not contained in X, c is not 1. So $c = t^s$ for some $s = 1, ..., l$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be an irreducible subvariety and $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^m$ be an irreducible r-dimensional subvariety. Suppose that V is a $(k+r+1)$-dimensional irreducible subvariety of $Y\#X$ and V intersects X properly in $Y\#X$. If ${}^tF_V = t^sF_V$ for some s, then $s \geq (r+1)d$ where $d = degV$.*

Proof. By the dimension reason, we are able to take $(r+1)$ hyperplanes $\xi^j = \sum_{i=0}^m \xi_i^j y_i$ for $j = 0, ..., r$ in $\mathbb{P}^m$ which do not intersect at Y and $(k+1)$ hyperplanes $\xi^j = \sum_{i=0}^n \xi_i^j x_i$ for $j = r+1, ..., k+r+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ which do not meet at $V \cap X$. So when we consider these $(k+r+2)$ hyperplanes as hyperplanes in $\mathbb{P}^{n+m+1}$, they have no common points in V. Therefore $F_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1}) \neq 0$. Since F_V is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in each group of variables where d is the degree of V, $F_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1})$ has a term $g(\underline{u}^{r+1}, ..., \underline{u}^{k+r+1}) \prod_{j=0}^r \prod_{i=0}^m (\xi_i^j)^{b_{ij}}$ where g is a polynomial in $\underline{u}^{r+1}$,...,$\underline{u}^{k+r+1}$ and $\underline{u}^j = (\xi_{m+1}^j, ..., \xi_{m+n+1}^j)$ for $j = r+1, ..., k+r+1$. Therefore we have $\sum_{i,j} b_{ij} = (r+1)d$ which implies that $s \geq (r+1)d$. ☐

See [2] for the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *For a $(k+r+1)$-cycle c with degree d in $\mathbb{P}^m$, $F_c(Au) = (detA)^d F_c(u)$ where $A = [a_{ij}] \in GL(k+r+2, \mathbb{C})$ and $Au = (\underline{u}_0', ..., \underline{u}_{k+r+1}')$ where $u = (\underline{u}_0, ..., \underline{u}_{k+r+1})$ and $\underline{u}_i' = \sum_{j=0}^{k+r+1} a_{ij}\underline{u}_j$.*

Corollary 3.6. *Suppose that V is a $(r+k+1)$-dimensional irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r\#X$ where $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Then as a polynomial in t, the degree of ${}^tF_V$ is less than or equal to $(r+1)d$.*

Proof. Denote ${}^t\underline{\xi}^j = (t\xi_0^j, ..., t\xi_r^j, \xi_{r+1}^j, ..., \xi_{r+n+1}^j)$ for $j = 0, ..., r+k+1$. We have ${}^tF_V(\underline{\xi}^0, ..., \underline{\xi}^{r+k+1}) = F_V({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ...,{}^t \underline{\xi}^{k+r+1})$. We write $u = ({}^t\underline{\xi}^0, ...,{}^t \underline{\xi}^{r+k+1})$ in the form of a $(r+k+2) \times (r+n+2)$-matrix

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} t\xi_0^0 & \cdots & t\xi_r^0 & \xi_{r+1}^0 & \cdots & \xi_{r+n+1}^0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t\xi_0^{r+k+1} & \cdots & t\xi_r^{r+k+1} & \xi_{r+1}^{r+k+1} & \cdots & \xi_{r+n+1}^{r+k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

We can find a matrix $A \in GL(r+k+2, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$Au = \begin{pmatrix} t\xi_0' & & 0 & \\ & \ddots & & \star \\ 0 & & t\xi_{r+k+1}' & \end{pmatrix}$$

is in the reduced row-echelon form where $\xi_i' \in \mathbb{C}$ for $i = 0, ..., r$. Since F_V is a degree d homogeneous polynomial in each group of variables, $F_V(Au)$ as a polynomial in t has degree less than or equal to $(r+1)d$. The conclusion now follows from Lemma 3.5. $\square$

From this Corollary and Lemma 3.3, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that V is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^r \# X$ of degree d and X is an irreducible subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^n$. If V meets X properly in $\mathbb{P}^r \# X$ then $V = \mathbb{P}^r \# W$ for $W = V \cap X$ if and only if ${}^tF_V = t^{(r+1)d} F_V$.*

Definition Let X be a projective variety. The suspension $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$ of X is defined to be $\mathbb{P}^0 \# X$. The suspension induces a map $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* : Z_k(X) \longrightarrow Z_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ from the space of k-cycles on X to the space of $(k+1)$-cycles on $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$. Let $\mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ be the open subset of $\mathscr{C}_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ where each $V \in \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ intersects $X \subset \Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$ properly in $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$. Let $T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ be the naive group completion of the monoid $\mathcal{T}^+_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X) = \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. Then $T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ is a topological abelian subgroup of $Z_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $c = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i V_i \in \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. Then c is in the image of $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_*$ if and only if ${}^tF_c = t^d F_c$.*

Proof. If c is in the image of $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_*$, then each V_i is in the image of $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_*$, thus by Theorem 3.7, ${}^tF_{V_i} = t^{d_i} F_{V_i}$ where d_i is the degree of V_i. Then

$$ {}^tF_c = \Pi_{i=1}^m ({}^tF_{V_i}^{n_i}) = \Pi_{i=1}^m t^{d_i} F_{V_i}^{n_i} = t^d F_c. $$

On the other hand, write ${}^tF_{V_i} = t^{a_i} G_{V_i,t}$ for some multihomogeneous polynomial $G_{V_i,t}$ which is not a multiple of t. If ${}^tF_c = t^d F_c$ then ${}^tF_c = \Pi_{i=1}^m t^{a_i n_i} G_{V_i,t}^{n_i} = t^d F_c$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i n_i = d = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i d_i$. Since V_i intersects X properly in $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X$, by Lemma 3.4, $a_i \geq d_i$ for all i, so we have $a_i = d_i$ for each i. And this implies that ${}^tF_{V_i} = t^{d_i} F_{V_i}$. Therefore by Theorem 3.7 c is in the image of $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_*$. $\square$

Let us note that if $V \in \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$, we may write ${}^tF_V = g_{d,V} t^d + \cdots + g_{1,V} t + g_{0,V}$ and $g_{d,V}$ is not 0. Recall that $\varphi_t : \mathbb{P}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is the map defined by $\varphi_t(x_0 : ... : x_{n+1}) = (tx_0 : x_1 : ... : x_{n+1})$. In the following, we identify a cycle c with its Chow form F_c.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a projective variety. Then $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* \mathscr{C}_{k,d}(X)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{T}^+_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$.*

Proof. Since ${}^{\frac{1}{t}}F_c = t^{-d}(g_{d,c} + g_{d-1,c} t + \cdots + g_{0,c} t^d)$, $g_{d,c} + g_{d-1,c} t + \cdots + g_{0,c} t^d$ is a Chow form of $\varphi_{\frac{1}{t}}(c) \in \mathcal{T}_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. Define $H_d : \mathcal{T}_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X) \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{T}_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$ by

$$ H_d(c,t) = g_{d,c} + \cdots + g_{1,c} t^{d-1} + g_{0,c} t^d $$

then H_d is a regular mapping. Since $H_d(c,1) = id$, $H_d(c,0) = g_{d,c} \in \Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* \mathscr{C}_{k,d}(X)$ by Lemma above where $g_{d,c}$ is the Chow form of the cycle $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/(c \bullet \mathbb{P}^n)$, $H_d(c,t) = c$ for $c \in \Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* \mathscr{C}_{k,d}(X), \forall t \in \mathbb{C}$, thus $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* \mathscr{C}_{k,d}(X)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\mathcal{T}_{k+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. *$\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* Z_k(X)$ is a deformation retract of $T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/\,X)$.*

Proof. Let $H : \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \times \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \times \mathcal{T}^+_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ be defined by $H(x,y,t) = H_{d_1}(x,t) \times H_{d_2}(y,t)$ where d_1, d_2 are the degree of x, y respectively, so H is continuous. We observe that since $F_{x+y} = F_x \cdot F_y$, we have $H_d(x+y,t) = H_{d_1}(x,t)H_{d_2}(y,t)$ where $d = d_1 + d_2$. If $(x,y) \sim (w,z)$, i.e. $x+z = w+y$, then we have the equality $H_k(x+z,t) = H_k(w+y,t)$ where $k = d_1 + d_2$. Thus $H_{d_1}(x,t) \times H_{e_2}(z,t) = H_{e_1}(w,t) \times H_{d_2}(y,t)$ where e_1, e_2 are the degree of w, z respectively. This means that $(H_{d_1}(x,t), H_{d_2}(y,t)) \sim (H_{e_1}(w,t), H_{e_2}(z,t))$. Therefore, H reduces to a map

$$H : T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \times \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$$

with the properties: $H(c,1) = c$; $H(c,0) \in \Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* Z_k(X)$; $H(c,t) = c$ for all $c \in \Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* Z_k(X)$. Thus $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* Z_k(X)$ is a strong deformation retract of $T_{k+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. ☐

4 The Holomorphic Taffy for totally real cycles

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a totally real projective variety. Let $RT_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) = T_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap RZ_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, $\overline{RT}_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ be the closure of $RT_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ in $T_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})$, and $\overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) = T_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. Let $\overline{RT}^+_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ be the collection of all effective cycles in $\overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ and define

$$S\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X) = \{c \bullet \mathbb{P}^n | c \in \overline{RT}^+_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)\}$$

where $\bullet$ is the intersection product.

Let $SZ_p(X)$ be the naive group completion of the monoid $S\mathscr{C}_p(X) = \coprod_{d \geq 0} S\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$. Then $RZ_p(X)$ is a subgroup of $SZ_p(X)$.

Let $H_d : \mathcal{T}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{T}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ be the deformation retraction defined in Theorem 3.9. We have the following result:

Theorem 4.1. *The restriction of H_d to $\overline{RT}^+_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a strong deformation retraction of $\overline{RT}^+_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ onto $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* S\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$.*

Proof. For $c \in RT^+_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, $\varphi_t(c) \in RT_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$. So $\varphi_0(c) \in \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. Therefore $\varphi_t(c) \in \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for $c \in \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. We have $H_d(c,0) = g_{d,c}$ which is the Chow form of $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/(c \bullet \mathbb{P}^n)$ for $c \in \overline{RT}_{p+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, and by definition $H_d(c,0)$ is in $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* S\mathscr{C}_{p,d}(X)$. ☐

Corollary 4.2. *$\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* SZ_p(X)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\overline{RT}_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$.*

Proof. From the similar analysis as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the restriction of the map H in Corollary 3.10 is a strong deformation retraction of $\overline{RT}_{p+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ onto $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* SZ_p(X)$. ☐

5 Critical polynomials

Definition Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a real polynomial of degree n. We define a sequence of real polynomials $(f_0, f_1, ..., f_k)$ as following: let $f_0 = f$, $f_1 = f'$ be the derivative of f, then by using the Euclidean algorithm, we can find $q_i, f_i \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ such that $f_0 = q_1 f_1 - f_2$, $f_1 = q_2 f_2 - f_3$, ..., $f_{k-1} = q_k f_k - 0$ and $f_{k-1} \neq 0$ where $deg f_{i+1} < deg f_i$ for all $i = 1, ..., k-1$. The sequence $(f_0, f_1, ..., f_k)$ is called the Sturm sequence of f.

Given a sequence of real numbers $s = (a_1, ..., a_n)$, if each a_i is not zero, we define the number of sign changes of s to be the number $sgn(s) = |\{a_i | a_i a_{i+1} < 0\}|$. If some a_i are zeros, deleting all zeros, we get a new sequence s' and define $sgn(s) = sgn(s')$.

The following Sturm theorem can be found in [3], Corollary 1.2.10.

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ is a real polynomial of degree n and let $s = (f_0, ..., f_k)$ be the Sturm sequence of f. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $sgnf_a = sgn(f_0(a), ..., f_k(a))$. Then the number of distinct real roots of f in the interval $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ is equal to $sgnf_a - sgnf_b$.*

Corollary 5.2. *The number of distinct real roots of a real polynomial is $\lim_{a\to\infty}(sgnf_{-a} - sgnf_a)$.*

Definition For a monomial $a_1^{i_1}...a_n^{i_n} \in \mathbb{R}[a_1, ..., a_n]$, we define its substituted homogeneous degree (shd) to be

$$shd(a_1^{i_1}...a_n^{i_n}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} j \cdot i_j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A polynomial $p_i(a_1, ..., a_n) = \sum c_{i_1,...,i_n} a_1^{i_1}...a_n^{i_n} \in \mathbb{R}[a_1, ..., a_n]$ is called a substitutable homogeneous polynomial if all the terms $a_1^{i_1}...a_n^{i_n}$ have the same substituted homogeneous degree. For a rational function $\frac{p(a_1,...,a_n)}{q(a_1,...,a_n)}$, we say that it is a substitutable homogeneous rational function if there exist two substitutable homogeneous polynomials p_1, q_1 in $\mathbb{R}[a_1, ..., a_n]$ such that $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{p_1}{q_1}$. We define the substituted homogeneous polynomial degree of $\frac{p}{q}$ to be $shd(\frac{p}{q}) = shd(p_1) - shd(q_1)$.

If $\frac{p_1}{q_1} = \frac{p_2}{q_2}$ where p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2 are all substitutable homogeneous polynomials then $shd(p_1) + shd(q_2) = shd(p_2) + shd(q_1)$. Therefore $shd(p_1) - shd(q_1) = shd(p_2) - shd(q_2)$. So we know that the shd for a substitutable homogenous rational functions is well-defined.

The following is a straight forward calculation.

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that $f(a_1, ..., a_n)$ is a substitutable homogenous polynomial, and $g_i(x_1, .., x_m)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree i for $i = 1, ..., n$, then*

$$f(g_1(x_1, ..., x_m), ..., g_n(x_1, ..., x_m))$$

is a homogeneous polynomial in $x_1, ..., x_m$ with degree $shd(f)$.

Definition Let $A(x) = p_0(a_1, ..., a_n)x^d + \cdots + p_d(a_1, ..., a_n)$, $B(x) = q_0(a_1, ..., a_n)x^{d-1} + \cdots + q_{d-1}(a_1, ..., a_n)$ where $p_i(a_1, ..., a_n)$, $q_i(a_1, ..., a_n)$ are all substitutable homogeneous rational functions for $i = 0, ..., d$ and $j = 0, ..., d-1$. If

1. $shdq_i - shdp_i = c$ a constant, for $i = 0, ..., d-1$
2. $shdp_i = i + shdp_0$, for $i = 0, ..., d$

then (A, B) is called a substitutable pair.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $A(x)$, $B(x)$ as above and (A, B) a substitutable pair. By doing the Euclidean algorithm, we may express $A(x) = B(x)D(x) + C(x)$ where $degC(x) < degB(x)$. Then (B, C) is also a substitutable pair.*

Proof. Let $r_{i-2} = \frac{p_i q_0^2 - p_0 q_0 q_i - p_1 q_0 q_{i-1} + p_0 q_1 q_{i-1}}{q_0^2}$ for $i = 2, ..., d-1$ and $r_{d-2} = \frac{p_d q_0^2 - p_1 q_0 q_{d-1} + p_0 q_1 q_{d-1}}{q_0^2}$. From the Euclidean algorithm, we get $C(x) = r_0(a_1, ..., a_n)x^{d-2} + \cdots + r_d(a_1, ..., a_n)$. And it is easy to check that $r_i(a_1, ..., a_n)$ is a substitutable homogeneous rational function with $shd(r_i) = shd(p_{i+2})$ for $i = 0, ..., d-2$. Since $shd(r_i) - shd(q_i) = shd(p_{i+2}) - shd(q_i) = 2 - C$, for all $i = 0, .., d-2$ and $shd(q_i) = i + shd(q_0)$, for all $i = 0, ..., d-1$, thus (B, C) is a substitutable pair. ☐

With all the work above, we can show that any consecutive term of the Sturm sequence of a polynomial is a substitutable pair.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose that* $f(x) = x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d$ *is a real polynomial and* $(f_0, f_1, ..., f_d)$ *is the Sturm sequence of* f*. Consider* f_i *as a polynomial in* $a_1, ..., a_d$ *for all* i*. Then* (f_i, f_{i+1}) *is a substitutable pair.*

Theorem 5.6. *Suppose that* $f(x) = x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_d$ *is a real polynomial. Then there exist substitutable polynomials* $F_2, ..., F_d$ *such that* f *has* d *distinct real roots if and only if* $F_2(a_1, ..., a_d) > 0, \cdots, F_d(a_1, ..., a_d) > 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $(f_0, f_1, ..., f_d)$ is the Sturm sequence of f. By Proposition 5.5, the leading coefficient of f_i is a substitutable rational function which can be expressed as $\frac{F_i(a_1,...,a_n)}{(w_i(a_1,...,a_n))^2}$ where F_i and w_i are substitutable homogeneous polynomials. Considering the cases of d being even and odd separately by taking x to be very negative, the Sturm theorem says that f has d distinct real roots if and only if

$$\begin{cases} F_2(a_1, ..., a_n) > 0 \\ \qquad\qquad\quad \vdots \\ F_d(a_1, ..., a_n) > 0 \end{cases}$$

□

We call $F_2, ..., F_d$ the critical polynomials of degree d polynomials. This theorem will be used in proving the openness of some sets in *magic fans.*

For a real polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree d with leading coefficient 1 , we identify it with a point in $\mathbb{R}^d$ by taking its coefficients as coordinates. Then the above result gives us the following byproduct.

Corollary 5.7. *The set* $S_n = \{(a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + ... + a_n = 0$ *has* n *distinct real roots* $\}$ *is an open semi-algebraic set of* $\mathbb{R}^n$.

6 Magic Fan

Definition A homogeneous polynomial $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ is said to be positive if $f(x_1, ..., x_n) > 0$ for all $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$.

Let us designate the set of all effective divisors of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined by real homogenous polynomials by $Div_{n,d}$. By using Veronese embedding, we can identify $Div_{n,d}$ with $\mathbb{RP}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$ and topologize it with the standard topology of $\mathbb{RP}^{\binom{n+d}{d}-1}$. We often abuse a homogenous polynomial with the effective divisor defined by it in a projective space.

Definition Let $Div'_{n,d}$ be the collection of effective divisors of degree d of $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by real homogeneous polynomials in the form

$$G(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+...+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} c_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$$

where $c_{i_0,...,i_n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $Div'_{n,d}$ is the set of all divisors which do not contain $[1 : 0 : \cdots : 0]$, $Div'_{n,d}$ is an open subset of $Div_{n,d}$.

Definition Let $E_{n,d}$ be the subset of $Div'_{n,d}$ consisting of all $G(x_0, ..., x_n)$ such that for any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$, as a polynomial in x_0, $G(x_0, ..., x_n)$ has d distinct real roots.

Example It is obvious that $G(x_0, ..., x_n) = (x_0^2 - (x_1^2 + ... + x_n^2)) \cdots (x_0^2 - d(x_1^2 + ... + x_n^2))$ is in $E_{n,2d}$ and $H(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0 G(x_0, ..., x_n)$ is in $E_{n,2d+1}$. Thus $E_{n,d}$ is not empty.

Our goal here is to show that $E_{n,d}$ is an open subset of $Div_{n,d}$. It suffices to show that $E_{n,d}$ is an open subset of $Div'_{n,d}$. For any G in $E_{n,d}$, we need to find an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $|c'_{i_0,...,i_n} - c_{i_0,...,i_n}| < \epsilon$ for any $i_0, ..., i_n$ where $c'_{i_0,...,i_n}$, $c_{i_0,...,i_n}$ are coefficients of the term $x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ of G' and G respectively, then G' is in $E_{n,d}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that* $H(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_n=k} h_{i_1,...,i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, ..., x_n]$ *is a positive homogeneous polynomial, then there is an* $\epsilon > 0$ *such that if* $|h'_{i_1,...,i_n} - h_{i_1,...,i_n}| < \epsilon$, *for all* $i_1, .., i_n$, *the homogenous polynomial* $H'(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_n=k} h'_{i_1,...,i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ *is also positive.*

Proof. Consider $H|_{S^{n-1}}$, the restriction of H to the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let δ be the minimum value of $H|_{S^{n-1}}$, thus $\delta > 0$. Let $M = \binom{n+k-1}{k}$ and $\epsilon = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\delta}{M}$. Then for any $|h'_{i_1,...,i_n} - h_{i_1,...,i_n}| < \epsilon$ where $H'(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i_1+\cdots+i_n=k} h'_{i_1,...,i_n} x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$, we have $|H'|_{S^{n-1}}(X) - H|_{S^{n-1}}(X)| < \frac{1}{2}\delta$ for $X \in S^{n-1}$. Thus $H'|_{S^{n-1}}(X) > \frac{1}{2}\delta$ for $X \in S^{n-1}$. Then for any $X \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$, $H'(X) = |X|^k H'|_{S^{n-1}}(\frac{X}{|X|}) > |X|^k \frac{\delta}{2} > 0$ since H' is homogeneous of degree k. Thus H' is a positive homogeneous polynomial. □

Lemma 6.2. $E_{n,d}$ *is an open subset of* $Div'_{n,d}$.

Proof. Let F_j, $j = 2, ..., d$ be the critical polynomials of degree d polynomials and k_j be the substituted homogeneous degree of F_j. Suppose that

$$G(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0^d + p_1(x_1, ..., x_n)x_0^{d-1} + \cdots p_d(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

$$= x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} c_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in E_{n,d}.$$

Then $F_j(p_1(x_1, ..., x_n), ..., p_d(x_1, ..., x_n)) = \sum_{r_1+\cdots+r_n=k_j} t_{r_1,...,r_n} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}$ is a positive homogeneous polynomial. By Lemma above, there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $|t'_{r_1,...,r_n} - t_{r_1,...,r_n}| < \epsilon$, then $F'(x_1, ..., x_n) = \sum_{r_1+\cdots+r_n=k_j} t'_{r_1,...,r_n} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}$ is a positive homogenous polynomial. Let

$$G'(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0^d + q_1(x_1, ..., x_n)x_0^{d-1} + \cdots + q_d(x_1, ..., x_n)$$

$$= x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} c'_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in Div'_{n,d}$$

Considering G' as a polynomial in x_0 and write the critical polynomial

$$F_j(q_1(x_1, ..., x_n), ..., q_d(x_1, ..., x_n)) = \sum_{r_1+\cdots+r_n=k_j} t'_{r_1,...,r_n} x_1^{r_1} \cdots x_n^{r_n}.$$

Then $t'_{r_1,...,r_n}$ is a polynomial in variables $\{c'_{i_0,...,i_n} | i_0 + \cdots + i_n = k_j, i_0 < d\}$. Thus there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $|c'_{i_0,...,i_n} - c_{i_0,...,i_n}| < \delta$, then $|t'_{i_0,...,i_n} - t_{i_0,...,i_n}| < \epsilon$ and by above assumption

$$F_i(q_1(x_1, ..., x_n), ..., q_d(x_1, ..., x_n)) > 0$$

for all $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$. Therefore by Theorem 5.6, $G'(x_0, ..., x_n)$ has d distinct real roots for all $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$. Thus $E_{n,d}$ is open in $Div'_{n,d}$. □

For

$$f(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} a_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n} \in Div'_{n,d},$$

let

$$f_t(x_0, ..., x_n) = t^d f(\frac{1}{t} x_0, x_1, ..., x_n)$$

and denote tD to be the divisor in $\mathbb{P}^n$ determined by f_t where D is the divisor determined by f. We use $0D$ to denote the cycle $deg(D) \cdot \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$, the hyperplane which has the same degree as D's, and from the limiting process as t approaches 0, we denote $f_0 = x_0^d$.

Definition Let $Div''_{n,d}$ be the subset of $E_{n,d}$ consisting all homogeneous polynomial f of degree d such that $f_t(1, 1, 0, ..., 0) \neq 0$ for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proposition 6.3. *$Div''_{n,d}$ is an open subset of $Div_{n,d}$.*

Proof. Let $f(x_0, ..., x_n) = x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} c_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ and $g(x_0, ..., x_n) =$ $x_0^d + \sum_{\substack{i_0+\cdots+i_n=d \\ i_0<d}} c'_{i_0,...,i_n} x_0^{i_0} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ be two polynomials in $E_{n,d}$. Then $f_t(1, 1, 0, ..., 0) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1=d \\ i_0<d}} c_{i_0,...,i_n} t^{i_1}$ and $g_t(1, 1, 0, ..., 0) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1=d \\ i_0<d}} c_{i_0,...,i_n} t^{i_1}$. If $f \in Div''_{n,d}$, then

$$|f_t(1, 1, 0, ..., 0) - g_t(1, 1, 0, ..., 0)| \leq \sum_{\substack{i_0+i_1=d \\ i_0<d}} |c_{i_0,...,i_n} - c'_{i_0,...,i_n}|$$

for $t \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, if g is close enough to f, g is in $Div''_{n,d}$. ☐

Definition Let c be a real r-cycle on $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $\mathcal{O}_c(d)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the set of polynomials in $Div_{n,d}$ restricted to the support of c. For example, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the set of all real homogeneous polynomials over $\mathbb{P}^r$ with degree less than or equal to d. Therefore, $dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d)_{\mathbb{R}} = \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$.

Let $x_\infty = (1 : 0 : ... : 0), x_{11} = (1 : 1 : 0 : ... : 0)$ be two points in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. For an effective real r-cycle c on $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, let $\beta_{n+1,d}(c)$ be the subset of $Div_{n+1,d}$ consisting of real divisors D such that $x_{11} \# \mathbb{P}^n$ contains an irreducible component of $(x_\infty \# c) \cap D$, or equivalently, D contains an irreducible component of $(x_{11} \# \mathbb{P}^n) \cap (x_\infty \# c)$.

Lemma 6.4. *For a real cycle c on $\mathbb{P}^n$, the real codimension $codim_{\mathbb{R}}(\beta_{n+1,d}(c))$ of $\beta_{n+1,d}(c)$ is greater or equal to $\binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$.*

Proof. Let V be an irreducible component of $(x_{11} \# \mathbb{P}^n) \cap (x_\infty \# c)$. Take a real linear subspace L of dimension $n + 1 - r$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ which does not intersect with V. We may assume that the projection defined by L is $\pi : \mathbb{P}^{n+1} - L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ and thus $\pi(V) = \mathbb{P}^r$. If f is a real homogenous polynomial of degree d defined over $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and $f|_{\mathbb{P}^r}$ is not the zero polynomial, then we may define $g(x_0, ..., x_{n+1}) = f(x_0, ..., x_r, 0, ..., 0)$ which is not a zero polynomial on V. Hence the divisor defined by g does not contain V. Therefore, $dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_V(d)_{\mathbb{R}} \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(d)_{\mathbb{R}} \geq \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$.

Let $(x_{11} \# \mathbb{P}^n) \cap (x_\infty \# c) = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i V_i$, and consider the restriction map $\psi_i : Div_{n+1,d} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_i}(d)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then $codim_{\mathbb{R}} \beta_{n+1,d}(c) = \underset{i}{min}\{dim Im \Psi_i\} = \underset{i}{min}\{dim \mathcal{O}_{V_i}(d)_{\mathbb{R}}\} \geq \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$. ☐

Definition Suppose that c is a real r-cycle on $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$. Define $\beta''_{n+1,d}(c) = \beta_{n+1,d}(c) \cap Div''_{n+1,d}$.

Corollary 6.5. *$codim_{\mathbb{R}}\beta''_{n+1,d}(c) \geq \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, $Div''_{n+1,d}$ is an open subset of $Div_{n+1,d}$, thus we have $codim_{\mathbb{R}}\beta''_{n+1,d}(c) \geq codim_{\mathbb{R}}\beta_{n+1,d}(c) \geq \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1$. ☐

For a real projective variety $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, we use $Re(V) \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ to denote the set of real points of V.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $f_j(x_0, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_0, ..., x_n]$, for $j = 0, ..., m$ and define a projection $\pi : \mathbb{P}^n - L \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ by $\pi = (f_0, ..., f_m)$ where L is the linear subspace defined by $f_0, ..., f_m$. If $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n - L$ is an irreducible projective variety of dimension p and $dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(V) = p$, then $dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(\pi(V)) = p$. In other words, if V is the complexification of $Re(V)$, then $\pi(V)$ is the complexification of $Re(\pi(V))$.*

Proof. Assume that $dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(\pi(V)) < p$. Let W be the complexification of $Re(\pi(V))$ then $W \subsetneq \pi(V)$. Each component of π is a real linear function, thus $\pi(Re(V)) \subset Re(\pi(V)) \subset W$. From here we have $Re(V) \subset \pi^{-1}(W) \cap V$. Since W is a proper subset of $\pi(V)$, thus $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap V \subsetneq V$. But $\pi^{-1}(W) \cap V$ is a proper subvariety of V and contains $Re(V)$, so V is not the complexification of $Re(V)$. This contradicts to the hypothesis. ☐

Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a real projective variety. For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \in Z_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ and $D \in Div''_{n+2,d} - \beta''_{n+2,d}(c)$, define $\Psi_{tD}(c) = \pi_{1*}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ c \bullet tD)$ where $\pi_1 : \mathbb{P}^{n+2} - x_{11} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+2}$ is the projection with center x_{11} and $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/$ is the map induced by joining with x_∞. Let $\pi_\infty : \mathbb{P}^{n+2} - x_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ be the projection with center x_∞.

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a real projective variety and $c \in RZ_{r+1,e}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. For $D \in Div''_{n+2,d} - \beta''_{n+2,d}(c)$, $\Psi_{tD}(c) \in RZ_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for $t \in [0,1]$.*

Proof. Let V be an irreducible component of $(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ c) \cap tD$ where $t \in [0,1]$ and $Z = \pi_\infty(V)$. So Z is an irreducible component of the support of c and therefore $dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(Z) = r+1$. The projection π_∞ is a real map hence $\pi_\infty(Re(V)) \subset Re(Z)$. Since $D \in Div''_{n+2,d}$, for any real point $q \in Re(Z)$, $(x_\infty \# q) \cap V$ are some real points, thus $\pi_\infty(Re(V)) = Re(Z)$. But

$$r+1 \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(V) \geq dim_{\mathbb{R}}\pi_\infty(Re(V)) = dim_{\mathbb{R}}Re(Z) = r+1$$

thus V is the complexification of $Re(V)$ and by the Lemma above, $\pi_1(V)$ is the complexification of $Re(\pi_1(V))$. ☐

Proposition 6.8. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ be a totally real projective variety and $K \subset \overline{RZ}_{r+1,e}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ be a compact subset. Define $\beta_{n+2,d}(K) = \cup_{Z \in K}\beta_{n+2,d}(Z)$. If $\binom{r+d+1}{d} > dim_{\mathbb{R}}(K) + 1$, then there exists $D \in Div''_{n+2,d}$ and a continuous map*

$$\Psi_{tD} : K \longrightarrow \overline{RZ}_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \text{ for } t \in [0,1],$$

defined by

$$\Psi_{tD}(Z) = \pi_{1*}((\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ Z) \bullet tD)$$

with the following properties:

1. *$\Psi_{0D}(Z) = d \cdot Z$*
2. *$\Psi_{tD}(K) \subset \overline{RT}_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for $t \in (0,1]$.*

Proof. From Lemma 6.4 and the assumption, we have $codim_{\mathbb{R}}\beta''_{n+2,d}(K) = max\{codim_{\mathbb{R}}\beta''_{n+2,d}(Z)|Z \in K\} - dim_{\mathbb{R}}(K) > \binom{r+d+1}{d} - 1 - dim_{\mathbb{R}}(K) > 0$. Therefore we can find a $D \in Div''_{n+2,d}$ which is not in $\beta''_{n+2,d}(K)$. From Proposition 3.5 in [5], we know that the map

$$\Psi_{tD} : K \longrightarrow Z_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

defined by

$$\Psi_{tD}(Z) = \pi_1((\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ Z \bullet tD)$$

is continuous and $\Psi_{tD}(Z)$ meets X properly in $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X$. Now let us show that the image of Ψ is actually contained in $\overline{RZ}_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. By Lemma 6.7, for any $Z \in K \cap RZ_{r+1,e}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, $\Psi_{tD}(Z) \in RT_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. Therefore, if $Z \in \overline{RZ}_{r+1,e}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, $\Psi_{tD}(Z) \in \overline{RT}_{r+1,de}(Z)$ for $t \in (0, 1]$. □

Recall that the topology of $Z_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined from a compactly filtered filtration (see [10], page 8)

$$K_{r+1,1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}} \subset K_{r+1,2}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \cdots = Z_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}}$$

by the weak topology. And by Lemma 2.2 of [10], this filtration is locally compact which means that for a compact set $K \subset Z_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}}$, there is a number k such that $K \subset K_{r+1,k}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})$. Therefore the filtration formed by $RK_{r+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) = K_{r+1,d}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X_{\mathbb{C}})_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ of $\overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ is locally compact.

Theorem 6.9. *The inclusion map* $i : \overline{RT}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \longrightarrow \overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ *is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. For surjectivity, consider a continuous map $f : S^m \longrightarrow \overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$, then $f(S^m) \subset RK_{r+1,e}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ for some e. By Proposition 6.8, for d large enough, there is a map

$$\Psi_{tD} : K \longrightarrow \overline{RZ}_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X) \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]$$

which is a homotopy between the map $d \cdot f$ and $g_d = \Psi_{1D}(f)$ where $g_d : S^m \longrightarrow \overline{RT}_{r+1,de}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$. Thus $i_*([g_{d+1}] - [g_d]) = [f]$. For injectivity, consider a continuous map of pair $f : (D^{m+1}, S^m) \longrightarrow (\overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X), \overline{RT}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X))$ which is nullhomotopic. Take d large enough and then by Proposition 6.8, we have a map $\Psi_{tD} : (f(D^{m+1}), f(S^m)) \longrightarrow (\overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X), \overline{RT}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X))$ which is a homotopy between $\Psi_{0D}(f) = d \cdot f$ and $g_d = \Psi_{1D}(f)$ where $g_d : (D^{m+1}, S^m) \longrightarrow (\overline{RT}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X), \overline{RT}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X))$. So g_d is nullhomotopic. Thus $i_*(g_d) = 0$ and $i_*([g_{d+1}] - [g_d]) = [f] = 0$. □

Combining this Theorem with Corollary 4.2, we get

Theorem 6.10. *Suppose that* $X \subset \mathbb{RP}^n$ *is a totally real projective variety. The suspension map* $\Sigma\!\!\!\!/_* : SZ_r(X) \longrightarrow \overline{RZ}_{r+1}(\Sigma\!\!\!\!/ X)$ *induces a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Example

$$\overline{RZ}_1(\mathbb{RP}^{n+1}) \text{ is weak homotopy equivalent to } \prod_{i=0}^{n}\prod_{j=0}^{i} K(I_{i,j}, i+j)$$

where

$$I_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j \text{ is odd or } j > i; \\ \mathbb{Z}, & \text{if } j = i \text{ and } j \text{ is even ;} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } j < i \text{ and } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

and $K(I_{i,j}, i+j)$ is the Eilenberg-Mac Lane space.

Proof. For any real cycle $c \in Z_0(\mathbb{P}^n)_{\mathbb{R}}$, we can take a cycle $c' \in RT_1(\mathbb{P}^{n+1})$ such that $c = c' \bullet \mathbb{P}^n$. Therefore $SZ_0(\mathbb{RP}^n) = Z_0(\mathbb{P}^n)_{\mathbb{R}}$. With the Theorem above, the conclusion now follows from Theorem 3.3 in [7]. ☐

Acknowledgements The author thanks Blaine Lawson for his patience in listening to the proof and his guidance for the author's graduate study in Stony Brook. He also thanks the referee for his/her comments.

References

[1] S. Akbulut, H. King, The Topology of Real Algebraic Sets, L'Enseignement Math., 29 (1983), 221-261.

[2] A. Andreotti, F. Norguet, La convexité holomorphe dans l'espace analytique des cycles d'une variété algébrique, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 21 (1967), 31-82.

[3] J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, Real Algebraic Geometry, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgeb. Folge 3, Vol. 36, Berlin Heidelberg, New York, Springer, 1998.

[4] M. Ben-Or, Lower bounds for algebraic computation tress, Proc. 15th ACM Annual Symp. on Theory of Comput., 80-86 (1983).

[5] E. Friedlander, Algebraic cycles, Chow varieties, and Lawson homology, Compositio Math. 77 (1991), 55-93.

[6] H.B. Lawson, Algebraic cycles and homotopy theory, Annals of Math. 129 (1989), 253-291.

[7] H.B. Lawson, P. Lima-Filho, M. Michelsohn, Algebraic cycles and the classical groups I: real cyclces, Topology, **42**, (2003) 467-506.

[8] J. Milnor, On the Betti numbers of real varieties, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 275-280.

[9] P. Samuel, Méthodes d'algèbre abstraite en géométrie algébrique, Ergebnisse der math., Springer- Verlag, 1955.

[10] J.H. Teh, A homology and cohomology for real projective varieties, Preprint in arXiv.org, math.AG/0508238.

[11] J.H. Teh, Harnack-Thom Theorem for higher cycle groups, Preprint in arXiv.org, math.AG/0509149.

[12] R. Thom, Sur l'homologie des variètès algèbriques rèelles, Differential and combinatorial topology (A symposium in honor of Marston Morse), University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1965, 255-265.